arXiv:1406.3776v1 [math.RT] 14 Jun 2014

COHOMOLOGY OF HOM-LEIBNIZ AND n-ARY HOM-NAMBU-LIE SUPERALGEBRAS

K.ABDAOUI, S. MABROUK AND A. MAKHLOUF

Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study the cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras. We construct the q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type and provide as application the computations of the derivations and second cohomology group. Moreover, we extend to graded case the Takhtajan's construction of a cohomology of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras starting from cohomology of Hom-Leibniz algebras.

To the memory of Faouzi AMMAR

Introduction

In [22], J.-L. Loday introduced a non-skewsymmetric version of Lie algebras, whose bracket satisfies the Leibniz identity. They are called Leibniz algebras. The Leibniz identity, combined with antisymmetry, is a variation of the Jacobi identity, hence Lie algebras are skewsymmetric Leibniz algebras. Recently Leibniz superalgebras were studied in [1, 15] and [21], etc..

Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov introduced, in [19], Hom-Lie algebras as a part of a study of deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras, while the graded case was considered in [14]. A Hom-Leibniz superalgebra is a triple $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha)$, in which $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space and α is an even endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying an α-twisted variant of the Jacobi identity

$$[[x,y],\alpha(z)] = [\alpha(x),[y,z]] - (-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y),[x,z]], \quad \forall\ x,\ y,\ z \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Generalizations of Leibniz algebras and Lie algebras, called n-ary Nambu algebras, appeared first in statistical mechanics [10, 11]. Moreover, Nambu mechanics [28] involves an n-ary product $[\cdot,...,\cdot]$ that satisfies the n-ary Nambu identity, which is an n-ary generalization of the Jacobi identity.

$$\big[x_1,....,x_{n-1},[y_1,....,y_n]\big] =$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\big[y_1,....,y_{i-1},[x_1,....,x_{n-1},y_i],y_{i+1},...,y_n\big],\ \forall\ (x_1,...,x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{N}^{n-1},\ (y_1,...,y_n) \in \mathcal{N}^n.$$

These generalizations include n-ary Hom-algebra structures generalizing the n-ary algebras of Lie type such as n-ary Nambu algebras, n-ary Nambu-Lie algebras and n-ary Lie algebras. See also [8, 33, 34, 35] .

The purpose of this paper is to study Hom-Leibniz superalgebras and n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. Section 1 is dedicated to basics, we recall definition of the Hom-Leibniz superalgebras, introduce the representations and the derivations of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras and prove as application a construction of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra. In the second Section we provide a cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras and compute the derivations and scalar second cohomology group of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra. In the last Section, we show a relationship between Hom-Leibniz superalgebras and n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras. Moreover, we define a cohomology of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras and we generalize to twisted situation and graded case, the process used by Daletskii and Takhtajan [13] to relate cohomologies of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras and Hom-Leibniz superalgebras.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A42,16E40,16S80.

Key words and phrases. n-ary algebra, n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebra, deformation, cohomology, Leibniz algebra.

1. Hom-superdiagebras and Hom-Leibniz superalgebras

Throughout this paper, we will for simplicity of exposition assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, even though for most of the general definitions and results in the paper this assumption is not essential.
A vector space V is said to be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded if we are given a family $(V_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of vector subspace of V such that $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. The symbol $|x|$ always implies that x is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous element and $|x|$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree. In the sequel, we will denote by $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}^n)$ refers to the set of tuples with homogeneous elements.

1.1. **Definitions.**

Definition 1.1. A Hom-Leibniz superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ over a field $\mathbb{K}$ equipped with a bilinear map $[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathcal{A}\times\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$, such that $[\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j] \subset \mathcal{A}_{i+j}$,$\forall\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and an even linear map $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$[[x, y], \alpha(z)] = [\alpha(x), [y, z]] - (-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y), [x, z]], \quad \forall\ x,\ y,\ z \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{1.1}$$

The identity (1.1) is called *Super-Hom-Leibniz* identity.

Suppose that $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra. For any $x \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$, we define $ad_x \in End_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathcal{A})$ by $ad_x(y) = [x, y]$, for any $y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$. Then the Super-Hom-Leibniz identity (1.1) can be written as

$$ad_{\alpha(z)}([x, y]) \quad = \quad [ad_z(x), \alpha(y)] + (-1)^{|z||x|}[\alpha(x), ad_z(y)] \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 1.2. (1) A Hom-Leibniz superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, [.,.], \alpha)$ is called Hom-Lie superalgebra if the bracket $[.,.]$ is skew-symmetric that is

$$[x, y] = -(-1)^{|x||y|}[y, x], \quad \forall\ x,\ y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

(2) A Hom-Leibniz superalgebra $(\mathcal{A}, [.,.], \alpha)$ is called **multiplicative** Hom-Leibniz superalgebra if

$$\alpha([x, y]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)], \quad \forall\ x,\ y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 1.3. Let $f : (\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}', [\cdot,\cdot]', \alpha')$ be a map. The map f is called

(1) even (resp. odd) map if $f(\mathcal{A}_i) \subset \mathcal{A}'_i$ (resp. $f(\mathcal{A}_i) \subset \mathcal{A}'_{i+1}$), for $i = 0, 1$.
(2) a **weak morphism** of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras if

$$f([x, y]) \quad = \quad [f(x), f(y)]'.$$

(3) A **morphism** of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras is a weak morphism of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras such that

$$f \circ \alpha \quad = \quad \alpha' \circ f.$$

(4) An **automorphism** of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras is a morphism of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras which is bijective.

1.2. **Representation of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras.**

Let $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra.

Definition 1.4. A linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is called α^k-derivation of Hom-Leibniz superalgebra for $k \geq 0$ if

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^k(x), D(y)], \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}). \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 1.5. We call a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ a module over $\mathcal{A}$ if there are linear map $\beta : M \to M$ and two bilinear maps

$$[\cdot,\cdot] : \mathcal{A}\times V \longrightarrow V \text{ and } [\cdot,\cdot] : V \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow V$$

satisfying the following five axioms, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}),\ v \in \mathcal{H}(V)$

$$\beta([v,x]) = [\beta(v), \alpha(x)], \tag{1.4}$$

$$\beta([x,v]) = [\alpha(x), \beta(v)], \tag{1.5}$$

$$[[x,y],\beta(v)] = [\alpha(x),[y,v]] - (-1)^{|x||y|}[\alpha(y),[x,v]], \tag{1.6}$$

$$[[x,v],\alpha(y)] = [\alpha(x),[v,y]] - (-1)^{|x||v|}[\beta(v),[x,y]], \tag{1.7}$$

$$[[v,x],\alpha(y)] = [\beta(v),[x,y]] - (-1)^{|v||x|}[\alpha(x),[v,y]]. \tag{1.8}$$

Example 1.6. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, [\cdot,\cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative Hom-Leibniz superalgebra. $\mathcal{A}$ is a module over $\mathcal{A}$, where the operator is the twist map α.*

1.3. **q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type.**
In the following, we describe q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type and compute its derivations and the second scalar cohomology group.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the complex superalgebra $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_1$ where $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ is the Laurent polynomials in one variable and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \theta\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$, where θ is the Grassman variable ($\theta^2 = 0$). We assume that t and θ commute. The generators of $\mathcal{A}$ are of the form t^n and θt^n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Let $q \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0,1\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\{n\} = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q}$, a q-number. The q-numbers have the following properties $\{n+1\} = 1 + q\{n\} = \{n\} + q^n$ and $\{n+m\} = \{n\} + q^n\{m\}$.
Let $\mathfrak{A}_q$ be a superspace with basis $\{L_m,\ I_m | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of parity 0 and $\{G_m,\ T_m | m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of parity 1, where $L_m = -t^m D,\ I_m = -t^m,\ G_m = -\theta t^m D,\ T_m = -\theta t^m$ and D is a q-derivation on $\mathcal{A}$ such that

$$D(t^m) = \{m\}t^m,\ D(\theta t^m) = \{m+1\}\theta t^m.$$

We define the bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_q : \mathfrak{A}_q \times \mathfrak{A}_q \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_q$, with respect the super-skew-symmetry for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$[L_m, L_n]_q = (\{m\} - \{n\})L_{m+n}, \tag{1.9}$$

$$[L_m, I_n]_q = -\{n\}I_{m+n}, \tag{1.10}$$

$$[L_m, G_n]_q = (\{m\} - \{n+1\})G_{m+n}, \tag{1.11}$$

$$[I_m, G_n]_q = \{m\}T_{m+n}, \tag{1.12}$$

$$[L_m, T_n]_q = -\{n+1\}T_{m+n}, \tag{1.13}$$

$$[I_m, I_n]_q = [I_m, T_n]_q = [T_m, G_n]_q = [T_m, T_n]_q = [G_m, G_n]_q = 0. \tag{1.14}$$

Let α be an even linear map on $\mathfrak{A}_q$ defined on the generators by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_q(L_n) &= (1+q^n)L_n, \quad \alpha_q(I_n) = (1+q^n)I_n, \\ \alpha_q(T_n) &= (1+q^{n+1})G_n,\ \alpha_q(T_n) = (1+q^{n+1})T_n. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.7. *The triple $(\mathfrak{A}_q, [\cdot,\cdot]_q, \alpha_q)$ is a Hom-Lie superalgebra, called the* **q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type**.

Proof. We just check

$$\circlearrowleft_{X,Y,Z} (-1)^{|X||Z|}[\alpha_q(X),[Y,Z]_q]_q = 0,\ \forall\ X,\ Y,\ Z \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A}_q). \tag{1.15}$$

Let $L_m,\ L_r,\ I_n,\ G_k,\ T_l$ be a homogeneous elements of $\mathfrak{A}_q$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \circlearrowleft_{L_m,I_n,G_k} (-1)^{|L_m||G_k|}[\alpha_q(L_m),[I_n,G_k]_q]_q \\ = \ & \circlearrowleft_{L_m,I_n,G_k} [\alpha_q(L_m),[I_n,G_k]_q]_q \\ = \ & [\alpha_q(L_m),[I_n,G_k]_q]_q + [\alpha_q(I_n),[G_k,L_m]_q]_q + [\alpha_q(G_k),[L_m,I_n]_q]_q \\ = \ & (1+q^m)[L_m,[I_n,G_k]_q]_q + (1+q^n)[I_n,[G_k,L_m]_q]_q + (1+q^{k+1})[G_k,[L_m,I_n]_q]_q \\ = \ & \Big(-(1+q^m)\{n\}\{n+k+1\} + (1+q^n)(\{k+1\} - \{m\})\{n\} + (1+q^{k+1})\{n\}\{m+n\}\Big)T_{m+n+k} \\ = \ & 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \circlearrowleft_{L_m,L_r,T_l}\ (-1)^{|L_m||T_l|}[\alpha_q(L_m),[L_r,T_l]_q]_q \\
= \ & \circlearrowleft_{L_m,L_r,T_l}\ [\alpha_q(L_m),[L_r,T_l]_q]_q \\
= \ & [\alpha_q(L_m),[L_r,T_l]_q]_q+[\alpha_q(L_r),[T_l,L_m]_q]_q+[\alpha_q(T_l),[L_m,L_r]_q]_q \\
= \ & (1+q^m)[L_m,[L_r,T_l]_q]_q+(1+q^r)[L_r,[T_l,L_m]_q]_q+(1+q^{l+1})[T_l,[L_m,L_r]_q]_q \\
= \ & \Big((1+q^m)\{l+1\}\{r+l+1\}-(1+q^r)\{l+1\}\{m+l+1\}-(1+q^{l+1})(\{m\}-\{r\})\{l\}\Big)T_{m+n+l} \\
= \ & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same calculation we can proof the other equality. This ends the proof. □

2. Cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras

In the following we define a cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras and describe the cohomology space $H_0^2(\mathfrak{A}_q,\mathbb{C})$ of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$ with trivial representation.

2.1. **Definitions.** Let $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$ be a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra and $(V,[\cdot,\cdot]_V,\beta)$ be a representation of $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$.

Definition 2.1. A n-hom-cochain on $\mathcal{A}$ with values in V is defined to be an n-cochain $\varphi\in C^n(\mathcal{A},V)$ such that it is compatible with α and β in the sense that $\beta\circ\varphi=\varphi\circ\alpha^{\otimes n}$, i.e.

$$\beta\circ\varphi(x_1,...,x_n)=\varphi(\alpha(x_1),...,\alpha(x_n)). \tag{2.1}$$

We denote by $C^n_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)$ the set of n-hom-cochains: $C^n_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},M)=\{\varphi\in C^n(\mathcal{A},V):\beta\circ\varphi=\varphi\circ\alpha^{\otimes n}\}$. Let $x_1,...,x_k$ be k homogeneous elements of $\mathcal{A}$. We denote by $|(x_1,...,x_k)|=|x_1|+...+|x_k|\ (\mod 2)$ the parity of an element $(x_1,...,x_k)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}^k)$.
A n-hom-cochain φ is called even (resp. odd) when we have $\varphi(x_1,...,x_k)\in V_0$ (resp. $\varphi(x_1,...,x_k)\in V_1$) for all even (resp odd) element $(x_1,...,x_k)\in\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}^k)$.

Definition 2.2. We define a map $\delta^n:C^n(\mathcal{A},V)\longrightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathcal{A},V)$ by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta^n(f)(x_1,...,x_{n+1}) \\
= \ & \Big[\alpha^{n-1}(x_1),\varphi(x_2,...,x_{n+1})\Big] \\
+ \ & \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{n+1}|)}\Big[\varphi(x_1,...,\widehat{x_i},...,x_{n+1}),\alpha^{n-1}(x_i)\Big] \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1}(-1)^{j+1+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}\varphi\Big(\alpha(x_1),...,\alpha(x_{i-1}),[x_i,x_j],\alpha(x_{i+1}),...,\widehat{x_j},...,\alpha(x_{n+1})\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In the sequel we assume that the Hom-Leibniz superalgebra $(\mathcal{A},[\cdot,\cdot],\alpha)$ is multiplicative.

Lemma 2.3. *With the above notations, for any $\varphi\in C^n_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)$, we have*

$$\delta^n(\varphi)\circ\alpha=\beta\circ\delta^n(\varphi).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^n_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ and $(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}^{n+1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta^n(\varphi) \circ \alpha\Big(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\Big) \\
= \;& \delta^n(f)\Big(\alpha(x_1), ..., \alpha(x_{n+1})\Big) \\
= \;& \Big[\alpha^n(x_1), \varphi\Big(\alpha(x_2), ..., \alpha(x_{n+1})\Big)\Big] + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{n+1}|)}\Big[\varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+1})), \alpha^n(x_i)\Big] \\
+ \;& \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1}(-1)^{j+1+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}\varphi\Big(\alpha^2(x_1), ..., \alpha^2(x_{i-1}), [\alpha(x_i), \alpha(x_j)], \alpha^2(x_{i+1}), ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha^2(x_{n+1})\Big) \\
= \;& \Big[\alpha^n(x_1), \varphi \circ \alpha(x_2, ..., x_{n+1})\Big] + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{n+1}|)}\Big[\varphi \circ \alpha(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{n+1}), \alpha^n(x_i)\Big] \\
+ \;& \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1}(-1)^{j+1+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}\varphi \circ \alpha\Big(\alpha(x_1), ..., \alpha(x_{i-1}), [x_i, x_j], \alpha(x_{i+1}), ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+1})\Big) \\
= \;& \beta \circ \Big[\alpha^{n-1}(x_1), \varphi(x_2, ..., x_{n+1})\Big] + \sum_{i=2}^{n+1}(-1)^{i+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{n+1}|)}\beta \circ \Big[\varphi(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{n+1}), \alpha^{n-1}(x_i)\Big] \\
+ \;& \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n+1}(-1)^{j+1+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}\beta \circ \varphi\Big(\alpha(x_1), ..., \alpha(x_{i-1}), [x_i, x_j], \alpha(x_{i+1}), ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+1})\Big) \\
= \;& \beta \circ \delta^n(\varphi)\Big(x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra and (V, β) be an $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then the pair $(\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} C^n_{\alpha,\beta}, \delta^n)$ is a cohomology complex, that is the maps δ^n satisfy $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0, \ \forall \ n \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $n = 1$. Since

$$\delta^1(\varphi)(x_1, x_2) \quad = \quad [x_1, \varphi(x_2)] + (-1)^{|x_2||\varphi|}[\varphi(x_1), x_2] - \varphi([x_1, x_2]).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta^2(\varphi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\
= \;& [\alpha(x_1), \varphi(x_2, x_3)] + (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)}[\varphi(x_1, x_3), \alpha(x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\varphi(x_1, x_2), \alpha(x_3)] \\
- \;& \varphi([x_1, x_2], \alpha(x_3)) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}\varphi([x_1, x_3], \alpha(x_2)) + \varphi(\alpha(x_1), [x_2, x_3]),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta^2 \circ \delta^1(\varphi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\
= \;& [\alpha(x_1), \delta^1(\varphi)(x_2, x_3)] + (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)}[\delta^1(\varphi)(x_1, x_3), \alpha(x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\delta^1(\varphi)(x_1, x_2), \alpha(x_3)] \\
- \;& \delta^1(\varphi)([x_1, x_2], \alpha(x_3)) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}\delta^1(\varphi)([x_1, x_3], \alpha(x_2)) + \delta^1(\varphi)(\alpha(x_1), [x_2, x_3]) \\
= \;& [\alpha(x_1), [x_2, \varphi(x_3)]] + (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\alpha(x_1), [\varphi(x_2), x_3]] - [\alpha(x_1), \varphi([x_2, x_3])] + (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)}[[x_1, \varphi(x_3)], \alpha(x_2)] \\
+ \;& (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)+|\varphi||x_3|}[[\varphi(x_1), x_3], \alpha(x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)}[\varphi([x_1, x_3]), \alpha(x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[[(x_1, \varphi(x_2)], \alpha(x_3)] \\
- \;& (-1)^{|\varphi|(|x_2|+|x_3|)}[[\varphi(x_1), x_2], \alpha(x_3)] + (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\varphi([x_1, x_2]), \alpha(x_3)] - [[x_1, x_2], \varphi(\alpha(x_3))] \\
- \;& (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\varphi([x_1, x_2]), \alpha(x_3)] + \varphi([[x_1, x_2], \alpha(x_3)]) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}[[x_1, x_3], \varphi(\alpha(x_2))] \\
+ \;& (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|+|x_2||\varphi|}[\varphi([x_1, x_3]), \alpha(x_2)] - (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}\varphi([[x_1, x_3], \alpha(x_2)]) + [\alpha(x_1), \varphi([x_2, x_3])] \\
+ \;& (-1)^{|\varphi|(|x_2|+|x_3|)}[\varphi(\alpha(x_1)), [x_2, x_3]] - \varphi([\alpha(x_1), [x_2, x_3]]).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \varphi$ and

- $\varphi([[x_1, x_2], \alpha(x_3)]) - \varphi([\alpha(x_1), [x_2, x_3]]) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}\varphi([[x_1, x_3], \alpha(x_2)])$
$= \varphi([[x_1, x_2], \alpha(x_3)]) - [\alpha(x_1), [x_2, x_3]]) + (-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}[[x_1, x_3], \alpha(x_2)]) = 0,$
- $(-1)^{|\varphi|(|x_2|+|x_3|)}[\beta(\varphi(x_1)), [x_2, x_3]] + (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)+|\varphi||x_3|}[[\varphi(x_1), x_3], \alpha(x_2)]$
$-(-1)^{|\varphi|(|x_2|+|x_3|)}[[\varphi(x_1), x_2], \alpha(x_3)] = 0,$
- $(-1)^{|x_2||x_3|}[[x_1, x_3], \beta(\varphi(x_2))] + (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[\alpha(x_1), [\varphi(x_2), x_3]] - (-1)^{|x_3||\varphi|}[[(x_1, \varphi(x_2)], \alpha(x_3)] = 0,$
- $[\alpha(x_1), [x_2, \varphi(x_3)]] + (-1)^{|x_2|(|\varphi|+|x_3|)}[[x_1, \varphi(x_3)], \alpha(x_2)] - [[x_1, x_2], \beta(\varphi(x_3))] = 0,$

we have $\delta^2 \circ \delta^1(\varphi)(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$. Our conclusion holds.
In general, to prove $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, we proceed by induction. Suppose

$$\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n(x_1, ..., x_{n+2}) = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + X_6 + X_7,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\star)\ X_1 &= [\alpha^n(x_1), [\alpha^{n-1}(x_2), \varphi(x_3, ..., x_{n+2})]] \\
&+ \sum_{3\leq i\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+1+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[\alpha^n(x_1), [\varphi(x_2, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{n+2}), \alpha^{n-1}(x_i)]] \\
&+ \sum_{2\leq i\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}[\alpha^{n-1}(x_i), [\varphi(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{n+2}), \alpha^n(x_i)]] \\
&+ \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+j+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[[\varphi(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., x_{n+2}), \alpha^{n-1}(x_i)], \alpha^n(x_j)] \\
&- \sum_{3\leq i<j\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+j+|x_j|(|\varphi|+|x_{j+1}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[[\varphi(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., x_{n+2}), \alpha^{n-1}(x_j)], \alpha^n(x_i)], \\
\star)\ X_2 &= \sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)}[\alpha^n(x_1), \varphi(\alpha(x_2), ..., [x_i, x_j], ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2})), \alpha^{n-1}(x_i)] \\
&+ \sum_{2\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_k|(|x_{k+1}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[\varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., [x_i, x_j], ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \widehat{x_k}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2})), \alpha^n(x_k)] \\
&+ \sum_{2\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_k|(|\varphi|+|x_{k+1}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[\varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., [x_j, x_k], ..., \widehat{x_k}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2})), \alpha^n(x_i)] \\
&+ \sum_{2\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_j|(|\varphi|+|x_{j+1}|+...+|\widehat{x_k}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)}[\varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., [x_i, x_k], ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \widehat{x_k}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2})), \alpha^n(x_j)], \\
\star)\ X_3 &= \sum_{2\leq i\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+1+|x_i|(|\varphi|+|x_2|+...+|x_{i-1}|)}[[\alpha^{n-1}(x_1), \alpha^{n-1}(x_i)], \varphi(\alpha(x_2), ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2}))] \\
&+ \sum_{2\leq i<j\leq n+2} (-1)^{i+j+1+(|x_i|+|x_j|)(|\varphi|+|x_{i+1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{n+2}|)} \\
&\qquad [\varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., \widehat{x_i}..., \widehat{x_j}, ..., \alpha(x_{n+2})), [\alpha^{n-1}(x_i), \alpha^{n-1}(x_j)]],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\star)\ X_4 \ = \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{j+k+1+(|x_j|+|x_k|)(|x_{k-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{i+1}|)} \\
& \varphi(\alpha^2(x_2),...,[[x_i,x_j],\alpha(x_k)],...,\widehat{x_j},...,\widehat{x_k},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2}))] \\
- \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{j+k+1+(|x_j|+|x_k|)(|x_{k-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{i+1}|)} \\
& \varphi(\alpha^2(x_1),...,[\alpha(x_i),[x_j,x_k]],...,\widehat{x_j}...,\widehat{x_k},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
- \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{j+k+1+(|x_j|+|x_k|)(|x_{k-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{i+1}|)} \\
& \varphi(\alpha^2(x_1),...,[[x_i,x_k],\alpha(x_j)]],...,\widehat{x_j}...,\widehat{x_k},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\star)\ X_5 \ = \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_k|(|x_{j+1}|+...+|x_{k-1}|)+|x_i|(|x_2|+...+|x_{i-1}|)} \\
& \varphi([\alpha(x_1),\alpha(x_i)],\alpha^2(x_2),...,\widehat{\alpha(x_i)},...,[\alpha(x_j),\alpha(x_k)],...,\widehat{\alpha(x_k)},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_k|(|x_{k-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_j}|+...+|x_{i+1}|)+|x_j|(|x_2|+...+|[x_i,x_k]|+...+|x_{j-1}|)} \\
& \varphi([\alpha(x_1),\alpha(x_j)],\alpha^2(x_2),...,[\alpha(x_i),\alpha(x_k)],...,\widehat{\alpha(x_j)}...,\widehat{\alpha(x_k)},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_j|(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)+|x_k|(|x_2|+...+|[x_i,x_j]|+...+|x_{k-1}|)} \\
& \varphi([\alpha(x_1),\alpha(x_k)],\alpha^2(x_2),...,[\alpha(x_i),\alpha(x_j)],...,\widehat{\alpha(x_j)}...,\widehat{\alpha(x_k)},...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_l|(|x_{j+1}|+...+|x_{l-1}|)}\varphi(\alpha^2(x_1),...,[x_i,x_k],...,[x_j,x_l],...,\widehat{x_l}...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_l|(|x_{l-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_k}|+...+|x_{j+1}|)+|x_k|(|x_{k-1}|+...+|[x_j,x_l]|+...+|x_{i+1}|)} \\
& \varphi(\alpha^2(x_1),...,[x_i,x_k],...,[x_j,x_l],...,\widehat{x_k},...,\widehat{x_l}...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})) \\
+ \ & \sum_{1\leq i<j<k<l\leq n+2} (-1)^{|x_l|(|x_{l-1}|+...+|\widehat{x_k}|+...+|x_{i+1}|)+|x_k|(|x_{k-1}|+...+|x_{j+1}|)} \\
& \varphi(\alpha^2(x_1),...,[x_i,x_l],...,[x_j,x_k],...,\widehat{x_k},...,\widehat{x_l}...,\alpha^2(x_{n+2})).
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, $X_6 = -X_2$, $X_7 = -X_5$. By (1.6) and (2.1), we can easily check that $X_1 + X_3 = 0$ and $X_4 = 0$. Thus

$$\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n(x_1,...,x_{n+2}) = 0,$$

that is, $\delta^{n+1} \circ \delta^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof of this Theorem. □

Definition 2.5. Let $(\mathcal{A}, [.,.], \alpha)$ be a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra and (V, β) be an $\mathcal{A}$-module. Then we define

(1) The p-cocycle space

$$Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) = Ker\ d^p = \{\varphi \in C^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V)/d^p\varphi = 0\}$$

(2) The p-cobord space

$$B^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) = Im\ d^{p-1} = \{\varphi \in C^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V)/\exists \psi \in C^{p-1}_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V),\ \varphi = d^{p-1}\psi\}$$

Remark 2.6.

(1) The p-cocycle $Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ space is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. The even (resp. odd) p-cocycles space is defined as $Z^p_{\alpha,\beta,0}(\mathcal{A}, V) = Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) \cap C^p_{\alpha,\beta,0}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ (resp. $Z^p_{\alpha,\beta,1}(\mathcal{A}, V) = Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) \cap C^p_{\alpha,\beta,1}(\mathcal{A}, V)$).

(2) The p-cobord $B^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ space is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded. The even (resp. odd) p-cobords space is defined as $B^p_{\alpha,\beta,0}(\mathcal{A}, V) = B^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) \cap C^p_{\alpha,\beta,0}(\mathcal{A}, V)$ (resp. $B^p_{\alpha,\beta,1}(\mathcal{A}, V) = Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A}, V) \cap B^p_{\alpha,\beta,1}(\mathcal{A}, V)$).

Lemma 2.7. $Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)\subset B^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)$

Definition 2.8. The p^{th} cohomology space is the quotient $H^p(\mathcal{A},V)=\frac{Z^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)}{B^p_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{A},V)}$. It decomposes as well as even and odd p^{th} cohomology spaces. We denote $H^p(\mathcal{A},V)=H^p_0(\mathcal{A},V)\bigoplus H^p_1(\mathcal{A},V)$.

2.2. **Second cohomology group of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type $H^2_0(\mathfrak{A}_q,\mathbb{C})$.** We denote by $[\varphi]$ the cohomology class of an element φ.

Theorem 2.9. $H^2_0(\mathfrak{A}_q,\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}[\phi]\oplus\mathbb{C}[\varphi]\oplus\mathbb{C}[\psi]$, *where*

$$\phi(L_n,L_m)=\delta_{n+m,0}\frac{q^{-n}}{6(1+q^n)}\{n+1\}\{n\}\{n-1\}, \tag{2.3}$$
$$\phi(L_n,I_m)=\phi(I_n,I_m)=\phi(G_n,G_m)=\phi(G_n,T_m)=\phi(T_n,T_m)=0.$$
$$\varphi(L_n,I_m)=\delta_{n+m,0}\frac{2q^{-n}}{(1+q^n)}\{n+1\}\{n\}, \tag{2.4}$$
$$\varphi(L_n,L_m)=\varphi(I_n,I_m)=\varphi(G_n,G_m)=\varphi(G_n,T_m)=\varphi(T_n,T_m)=0.$$
$$\psi(I_n,I_m)=\delta_{n+m,0}\frac{2q^{m}}{(1+q^m)}\{n\}, \tag{2.5}$$
$$\psi(L_n,L_m)=\psi(I_n,I_m)=\psi(G_n,G_m)=\psi(G_n,T_m)=\psi(T_n,T_m)=0.$$

Proof. For all $\varphi\in C^2_{\alpha,Id_{\mathbb{C}}}(\mathfrak{A}_q,\mathbb{C})$, we have

$$d^2(\varphi)(x_0,x_1,x_2)=-\varphi([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2))+(-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}\varphi([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1))+\varphi(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2]). \tag{2.6}$$

Now, suppose that φ is a q-deformed 2-cocycle on $\mathfrak{A}_q$. From (2.6), we obtain

$$-\varphi([x_0,x_1],\alpha(x_2))+(-1)^{|x_2||x_1|}\varphi([x_0,x_2],\alpha(x_1))+\varphi(\alpha(x_0),[x_1,x_2])=0. \tag{2.7}$$

In [5, 36] By (2.7) and taking the triple (x,y,z) to be (L_n,L_m,L_p), (L_n,L_m,I_p), (L_n,I_m,I_p), , and (L_n,G_m,G_p), respectively, we obtain $\varphi(L_n,L_p)$, $\varphi(L_n,I_p)$, $\varphi(I_n,I_p)$, and $\varphi(G_n,G_p)$ which define φ. Then we have

$$\varphi(I_n,I_p)=\delta_{n+p,0}\frac{(q+1)\{n+1\}}{q^n+1}\varphi(I_1,I_{-1})$$
$$\varphi(L_n,I_p)=\delta_{n+p,0}\big(-\frac{q^{-n}(q+1)(q^n-1)(q^n-q^2)}{(q-1)^2(q^n+1)}\varphi(L_1,I_{-1})$$
$$+\frac{q^{1-n}(q^2+1)(q^n-1)(q^n-q)}{(q-1)^2(q+1)(q^n+1)}\varphi(L_1,I_{-1})\big)$$
$$\varphi(L_n,L_p)=\delta_{n+p,0}\big(-\frac{A}{B}\varphi(L_1,L_{-1})+\frac{A'}{B'}\varphi(L_1,L_{-1})\big)$$
$$\varphi(G_n,G_p)=0.$$

Now taking the triple (x,y,z) to be (L_n,T_m,T_p) and (L_n,T_m,T_p) in (2.7) to obtain $\varphi(T_n,T_p)$, and $\varphi(G_n,T_p)$.

• For $(x,y,z)=(L_n,G_m,T_p)$

$$-\varphi([L_m,T_n],\alpha(T_p))-\varphi([L_m,T_p],\alpha(T_n))+\varphi(\alpha(L_m),[T_n,T_p])=0. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus

$$-(1+q^{p+1})\{n+1\}\varphi(T_{m+n},T_p)-(1+q^{n+1})\{p+1\}\varphi(T_{m+p},T_n)=0. \tag{2.9}$$

Taking $m=0$

$$2\frac{q^{p+1}-q^{n+1}}{1-q}\varphi(T_p,T_n)=0.$$

Thus $\varphi(T_n, T_p) = 0$ for all $n \neq p$.
Taking $n = 0$ and $m = p$ in (2.9)

$$(1 + q^{m+1})\varphi(T_m, T_m) = -(1 + q)\{m + 1\}\varphi(T_{2m}, T_0).$$

which implies that $\varphi(T_m, T_m) = 0$ for all $m \neq 0$.
Taking $n = 0$ and $m = -p$ in (2.9), we have $\varphi(T_0, T_0) = 0$. Thus $\varphi(T_n, T_m) = 0$ for all $n,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
• For $(x, y, z) = (L_n, G_m, T_p)$

$$-\varphi([L_m, G_n], \alpha(T_p)) - \varphi([L_m, T_p], \alpha(G_n)) + \varphi(\alpha(L_m), [G_n, T_p]) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Thus

$$(1 + q^{p+1})(\{m\} - \{n + 1\})\varphi(G_{m+n}, T_p) - (1 + q^{n+1})\{p + 1\}\varphi(T_{m+p}, G_n) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly we can prove that $\varphi(G_n, T_m) = 0$ for all $n,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We denote by f the even linear map defined on $\mathfrak{A}$ by

$$f(L_n) = -\frac{1}{\{n\}}\varphi(L_0, L_n),\ \text{if } n \neq 0,\ f(L_0) = -\frac{q}{1+q}\varphi(L_1, L_{-1}),$$
$$f(I_n) = -\frac{1}{\{n\}}\varphi(L_0, I_n),\ \text{if } n \neq 0,\ f(I_0) = -q\varphi(L_1, I_{-1}),$$
$$f(G_n) = -\frac{1}{\{n+1\}}\varphi(L_0, G_n),\ \text{if } n \neq -1,\ f(G_{-1}) = -\frac{q}{1+q}\varphi(L_1, G_{-2}),$$
$$f(T_n) = -\frac{1}{\{n+1\}}\varphi(L_0, I_n),\ \text{if } n \neq -1,\ f(T_{-1}) = -q\varphi(L_1, T_{-2}).$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\delta^1(f)(L_n, L_m) = \frac{\{n\} - \{m\}}{\{n+m\}}\varphi(L_0, L_{n+m}),\ \text{if } n + m \neq 0,\ \delta^1(f)(L_n, L_{-n}) = 0,$$
$$\delta^1(f)(L_n, I_m) = \frac{\{n\}}{\{n+m\}}\varphi(L_0, L_{n+m}),\ \text{if } n + m \neq 0,\ \delta^1(f)(L_n, I_{-n}) = 0,$$
$$\delta^1(f)(I_n, I_m) = \delta^1(f)(G_n, G_m) = \delta^1(f)(G_n, T_m) = \delta^1(f)(T_n, T_m) = 0.$$

□

3. Derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$

In this section we compute the derivations of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro superalgebra of Hom-type $\mathfrak{A}_q$ and its q-derivation. A homogeneous α^k-derivation is said of degree s if there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $D(< L_n >) \subset < L_{n+s} >$. The corresponding subspace of homogeneous α^k-derivations of degree s is denoted by $Der^s_{\alpha^k,i}(\mathfrak{A}_q)(i \in \mathbb{Z}_2)$.
It easy to check that $Der^s_{\alpha^k}(\mathfrak{A}_q) = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}\Big(Der^s_{\alpha^k,0}(\mathfrak{A}_q) \oplus Der^s_{\alpha^k,1}(\mathfrak{A}_q)\Big)$.
Let D be a homogeneous α^k-derivation

$$D([x, y]) = [D(x), \alpha^k(y)] + (-1)^{|x||D|}[\alpha^k(x), D(y)], \forall\ x, y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathfrak{A}_q).$$

We deduce that

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})D(L_{n+m}) = (1 + q^m)^k[D(L_n), L_m]_q + (1 + q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)]_q, \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\{m + 1\} - \{n\})D(I_{n+m}) = (1 + q^m)^k[D(L_n), G_m]_q + (1 + q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)]_q, \tag{3.2}$$

$$(\{m + 1\} - \{n\})D(G_{n+m}) = (1 + q^m)^k[D(L_n), G_m]_q + (1 + q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)]_q, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$(\{m + 1\} - \{n\})D(T_{n+m}) = (1 + q^m)^k[D(L_n), G_m]_q + (1 + q^n)^k[L_n, D(L_m)]_q,\ \forall\ n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

3.1. **The α^0-derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$.**

Proposition 3.1. *The set of even α^0-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$ is*

$$Der_{\alpha^0,0}(\mathfrak{A}_q) =< D_1 > \oplus < D_2 > \oplus < D_3 > \oplus < D_4 >$$

where D_1, D_2, D_3 and D_4 are defined, with respect to the basis as

$$D_1(L_n) = nL_n,\ D_1(I_n) = -q\{n-1\}L_n + nI_n, D_1(G_n) = 0,\ D_1(T_n) = nT_n, \tag{3.5}$$

$$D_2(I_n) = I_n,\ D_2(L_n) = D_2(G_n) = D_2(T_n) = 0, \tag{3.6}$$

$$D_3(G_n) = G_n,\ D_3(L_n) = D_3(L_n) = D_3(T_n) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$D_4(T_n) = T_n,\ D_4(L_n) = D_4(G_n) = D_4(I_n) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Let D ba an even derivation of degree s:

$$\begin{aligned} &D(L_n) = a_{s,n}L_{s+n} + b_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ D(I_n) = c_{s,n}L_{s+n} + d_{s,n}I_{s+n}, \\ &D(G_n) = e_{s,n}G_{s+n} + f_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ D(T_n) = g_{s,n}G_{s+n} + h_{s,n}T_{s+n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$a_{s,n} = \delta_{s,0}na_{s,1}$ and $e_{s,n} = \delta_{s,0}e_{s,0}$ (See [4]).
By (3.1) and (3.9), we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})b_{s,m+n} \quad = \quad \{s+m\}b_{s,m} - \{s+n\}b_{s,n}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)b_{s,m+n} \quad = \quad (1-q^{s+m})b_{s,m} - (1-q^{s+n})b_{s,n}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have

$$(q^n - 1)b_{s,n} \quad = \quad (1-q^s)b_{s,0} - (1-q^{s+n})b_{s,n}.$$

If $s \neq 0$, we have

$$b_{s,n} \quad = \quad \frac{1}{q^n}b_{s,0}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)\frac{1}{q^{n+m}}b_{s,0} \quad = \quad (1-q^{s+m})\frac{1}{q^m}b_{s,0} + (q^{s+n}-1)\frac{1}{q^n}b_{s,0}. \tag{3.10}$$

Taking $n = 2s$ and $m = 2s$ we have $b_{s,0} = 0$, so $b_{s,n} = 0$.
If $s = 0$ then $b_{s,n} = 0$.
Similarly we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{s,n} &= -\delta_{s,0}q\{n-2\}c_{s,1}, \\ d_{s,n} &= \delta_{s,0}(na_{s,1} + d_{s,1}), \\ f_{s,n} &= 0, \\ g_{s,n} &= -\delta_{s,0}q\{n-1\}g_{s,0}, \\ h_{s,n} &= \delta_{s,0}(na_{s,1} + h_{s,0}). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *The set of odd α^0-derivations of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$ is*

$$Der_{\alpha^0,1}(\mathfrak{A}_q) =< D_1 > \oplus < D_2 > \oplus < D_3 > \oplus < D_4 >$$

where D_1, D_2, D_3 and D_4 are defined, with respect to the basis as

$$D_1(L_n) = nG_{n-1},\ D_1(I_n) = nT_{n-1}, D_1(G_n) = D_1(T_n) = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

$$D_2(G_n) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q^n}I_{n+1},\ D_2(L_n) = D_2(I_n) = D_2(T_n) = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

$$D_3(T_n) = -q\{n-1\}L_{n+1},\ D_3(L_n) = D_3(I_n) = D_3(G_n) = 0, \tag{3.13}$$

$$D_4(T_n) = I_{n+1},\ D_4(L_n) = D_4(G_n) = D_4(I_n) = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Let D be an odd derivation of degree s:

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_n) &= a_{s,n}G_{s+n} + b_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ D(I_n) = c_{s,n}G_{s+n} + d_{s,n}T_{s+n}, \\ D(G_n) &= e_{s,n}L_{s+n} + f_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ D(T_n) = g_{s,n}L_{s+n} + h_{s,n}I_{s+n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

$a_{s,n} = \delta_{s,-1}na_{s,1}$ and $e_{s,n} = 0$ (See [4]).
By (3.1) and (3.15) we have

$$(\{m\} - \{n\})b_{s,m+n} = \{s+m+\}b_{s,m} - \{s+n+1\}b_{s,n}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)b_{s,m+n} = (1-q^{s+m+1})b_{s,m} - (1-q^{s+n+1})b_{s,n}.$$

If $m = 0$, we have

$$(q^n - 1)b_{s,n} = (1-q^{s+1})b_{s,0} - (1-q^{s+n+1})b_{s,n}.$$

If $s \neq -1$, we have

$$b_{s,n} = \frac{1}{q^n}b_{s,0}.$$

We deduce that

$$(q^n - q^m)\frac{1}{q^{n+m}}b_{s,0} = (1-q^{s+m+1})\frac{1}{q^m}b_{s,0} + (q^{s+n+1} - 1)\frac{1}{q^n}b_{s,0} \tag{3.16}$$

Taking $n = 2s+2$ and $m = s+1$, we have $b_{s,0} = 0$, so $b_{s,n} = 0$.
If $s = -1$ and $n \neq m$, we have

$$b_{-1,m+n} = \frac{1-q^m}{q^n - q^m}b_{-1,m} - \frac{1-q^n}{q^n - q^m}b_{-1,n}.$$

If $m = 1,\ n = 4$, then

$$b_{-1,5} = \frac{1-q}{q^4 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^4}{q^4 - q}b_{-1,4}. \tag{3.17}$$

If $m = 1,\ n = 3$, then

$$b_{-1,4} = \frac{1-q}{q^3 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^3}{q^3 - q}b_{-1,3}. \tag{3.18}$$

If $m = 1,\ n = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} b_{-1,3} &= \frac{1-q}{q^2 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^2}{q^2 - q}b_{-1,2} \\ &= \frac{-1}{q}b_{-1,1} + \frac{1+q}{q}b_{-1,2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Thus, using (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_{-1,5} &= \frac{1-q}{q^4 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^4}{q^4 - q}b_{-1,4} \\ &= \frac{1-q}{q^4 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^4}{q^4 - q}(\frac{1-q}{q^3 - q}b_{-1,1} - \frac{1-q^3}{q^3 - q}b_{-1,3}) \\ &\vdots \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So $b_{-1,n}=0$, then, for all $s,\ n$, $b_{s,n}=0$.
Similarly we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{s,n} &= 0,\\
d_{s,n} &= \delta_{s,-1} n a_{s,1},\\
f_{s,n} &= \delta_{s,1}\frac{q^n-1}{q^n} f_{s,0},\\
g_{s,n} &= -\delta_{s,1} q\{n-1\} g_{s,0},\\
h_{s,n} &= \delta_{s,1} h_{s,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. **The α^1-derivation of Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$.**

Proposition 3.3. *If D is an α-derivation then $D=0$.*

Proof. **Case 1**: $|D|=0$
Let D be an even derivation of degree s:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D(L_n)=a_{s,n}L_{s+n}+b_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ D(I_n)=c_{s,n}L_{s+n}+d_{s,n}I_{s+n},\\
&D(G_n)=e_{s,n}G_{s+n}+f_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ D(T_n)=g_{s,n}G_{s+n}+h_{s,n}T_{s+n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

By (3.1) and (3.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)(\{m\}-\{s+n\})a_{s,n}+(1+q^n)(\{s+m\}-\{n\})a_{s,m},\\
(\{m\}-\{n\})b_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^n)\{s+m\}a_{s,m}-(1+q^m)\{s+n\}b_{s,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(q^n-q^m)a_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)(q^{s+n}-q^m)a_{s,n}+(1+q^n)(q^n-q^{s+m})a_{s,m},\\
(q^n-q^m)b_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^n)(1-q^{s+m})a_{s,m}-(1+q^m)(1-q^{s+n})b_{s,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $a_{s,n}=0$ and $b_{s,n}=0$, so $D(L_n)=0$.
By (3.2) and (3.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}c_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)(\{n\}-\{m\})c_{s,n},\\
\{n\}d_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)\{s+n\}d_{s,m}+(1+q^n)\{n\}a_{s,m}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(1-q^n)c_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)(q^m-q^n)c_{s,n},\\
(1-q^n)d_{s,n+m} &= (1+q^m)(1-q^{s+n})d_{s,m}+(1+q^n)(1-q^n)a_{s,m}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $c_{s,n}=0$ and $d_{s,n}=0$, so $D(I_n)=0$.
Similarly we have $D(G_n)=D(T_n)=0$. Hence $D\equiv 0$.
Case 2: $|D|=1$
Let D be an odd derivation of degree s:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D(L_n)=a_{s,n}G_{s+n}+b_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ D(I_n)=c_{s,n}G_{s+n}+d_{s,n}T_{s+n},\\
&D(G_n)=e_{s,n}L_{s+n}+f_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ D(T_n)=g_{s,n}L_{s+n}+h_{s,n}I_{s+n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.1) and (3.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\{m\}-\{n\})a_{s,m+n} &= (1+q^n)(\{s+m+1\}-\{n\})a_{s,m}+(1+q^m)(\{m\}-\{s+n+1\})a_{s,n},\\
(\{m\}-\{n\})b_{s,m+n} &= (1+q^n)\{s+m+1\}b_{s,m}-(1+q^m)\{s+n+1\}b_{s,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then if $m\neq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n-q^{s+m+1})}{q^n-q^m}a_{s,m}-\frac{(1+q^m)(q^m-q^{s+n+1})}{q^n-q^m}a_{s,n},\\
b_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(1-q^{s+m+1})}{q^n-q^m}b_{s,m}-\frac{(1+q^m)(1-q^{s+n+1})}{q^n-q^m}b_{s,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $m = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s,n} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n-q^{s+1})}{1+q^n-2q^{s+n+1}}a_{s,0}, \\ b_{s,n} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(1-q^{s+1})}{2q^{s+n+1}-1}b_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} a_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^{m+n})(q^{m+n}-q^{s+1})}{1+q^{m+n}-2q^{s+m+n+1}}a_{s,0}, \\ b_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^{m+n})(1-q^{s+1})}{2q^{s+m+n+1}-1}b_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1+q^{m+n})(q^{m+n}-q^{s+1})}{1+q^{m+n}-2q^{s+m+n+1}}a_{s,0} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(q^n-q^{s+m+1})(1+q^m)(q^m-q^{s+1})}{(q^n-q^m)(1+q^{m+n}-2q^{s+m+n+1})}a_{s,0} \\ &- \frac{(1+q^m)(q^m-q^{s+n+1})(1+q^n)(q^n-q^{s+1})}{(q^n-q^m)(1+q^n-2q^{s+n+1})}a_{s,0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1+q^{m+n})(1-q^{s+1})}{2q^{s+m+n}}b_{s,0} &= \frac{(1+q^n)(1-q^{s+m+1})(1+q^m)(1-q^{s+1})}{(q^n-q^m)(2q^{s+m+1}-1)}b_{s,0} \\ &- \frac{(1+q^m)(1-q^{s+n+1})(1+q^n)(1-q^{s+1})}{(q^n-q^m)(2q^{s+n+1}-1)}b_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

If $q \in [0,1[$, then letting $n, m \longrightarrow \infty$, we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$ and $b_{s,0} = 0$. If $q > 1$ and setting $m = s$, then if n goes to infinity we obtain $a_{s,0} = 0$ and $b_{s,0} = 0$. We deduce that $D(L_n) = 0$.
By (3.2) and $D(I_n) = c_{s,n}G_{s+n} + d_{s,n}T_{s+n}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\}c_{s,m+n} &= (1+q^m)(\{s+n+1\}-\{m\})c_{s,n}, \\ \{n\}d_{s,m+n} &= (1+q^m)\{s+n+1\}d_{s,n} + (1+q^n)\{n\}a_{s,m}. \end{aligned}$$

If $n \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^m)(q^m-q^{s+n+1})}{1-q^n}c_{s,n}, \\ d_{s,m+n} &= \frac{(1+q^m)(1-q^{s+n+1})}{1-q^n}d_{s,n} + (1+q^n)a_{s,m}. \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2q^{s+n+1}-q^n-1)c_{s,n} &= 0, \\ d_{s,n} &= \frac{2(1-q^{s+n+1})}{1-q^n}d_{s,n} + (1+q^n)a_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{s,0} = 0$, so $c_{s,n} = 0$ and $d_{s,n} = 0$. We deduce that $D(I_n) = 0$.
By (3.3) and (3.21), we deduce that $D(G_n) = 0$ and by (3.4) and (3.21), we have $D(T_n) = 0$, hence $D \equiv 0$. □

3.3. **The q-derivation of the Hom-Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}_q$.** In this section, we study the q-derivations of $\mathfrak{A}_q$. The derivation algebra of $\mathfrak{A}_q$ is denoted by $\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q$. Since $\mathfrak{A}_q$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Hom-Lie superalgebra, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q = \oplus_{s\in\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q)_{0,s} \oplus (\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q)_{1,s},$$

where $(\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q)_0$ denotes the set of even derivations of $\mathfrak{A}_q$, and $(\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q)_1$ denotes the set of odd derivations of $\mathfrak{A}_q$

Definition 3.4. Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{A}_q \to \mathfrak{A}_q$ a linear map, then φ is called an even q-derivation (resp. an odd q-derivation) if

$$\varphi([x,y]_q) = \frac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([\varphi(x),\alpha_q(y)]_q + [\alpha_q(x),\varphi(y)]_q\Big) \tag{3.22}$$

$$(resp.\ \varphi([x,y]_q) = \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([\varphi_q(x),\alpha_q(y)]_q + (-1)^{|x|}[\alpha_q(x),\varphi(y)]_q)\Big). \tag{3.23}$$

where x, y are homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{A}_q$.
For a fixed $a \in (\mathfrak{A}_q)_i$, we obtain the following q-derivation

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi: & \mathfrak{A}_q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{A}_q \\ & x & \longmapsto & [a,x]. \end{array}$$

The map is denoted by ad_a and is called the inner q-derivation.

Proposition 3.5. *If φ is an even q-derivation of degree $s \neq 0$ then it is an inner derivation, more precisely:*

$$(\mathcal{Q}Der\mathfrak{A}_q)_{0,s} =< ad_{L_s} + ad_{I_s} > .$$

Proof. Let φ be an even q-derivation of degree $s \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(L_n) = a_{s,n}L_{s+n} + b_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ \varphi(I_n) = c_{s,n}L_{s+n} + d_{s,n}I_{s+n}, \\ &\varphi(G_n) = e_{s,n}G_{s+n} + f_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ \varphi(T_n) = g_{s,n}G_{s+n} + h_{s,n}T_{s+n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

By (1.9) and (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\}\varphi(L_n) &= \varphi([L_n, L_0]_q) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([\varphi(L_n),\alpha_q(L_0)]_q + [\alpha_q(L_n),\varphi(L_0)]_q\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([a_{s,n}L_{s+n} + b_{s,n}I_{s+n}, 2L_0]_q + [(1+q^n)L_n, a_{s,0}L_s + b_{s,0}I_s]_q\Big) \\ &= \Big(\frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}(\{n\}-\{s\})a_{s,0} + \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}a_{s,n}\Big)L_{s+n} + \Big(\frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}b_{s,n} - \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}\{s\}b_{s,0}\Big)I_{s+n}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\}a_{s,n} &= \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}(\{n\}-\{s\})a_{s,0} + \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}a_{s,n}, \\ \{n\}b_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}b_{s,n} - \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}\{s\}b_{s,0}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, $a_{s,n} = \frac{q^s-q^n}{q^s-1}a_{s,0}$ and $b_{s,n} = b_{s,0}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(L_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(L_n) &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[L_s, L_n]_q + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[I_s, L_n]_q \\ &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}(\{s\}-\{n\})L_{s+n} + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}\{s\}I_{s+n} \\ &= \frac{q^n-q^s}{1-q^s}a_{s,0}L_{s+n} + \frac{b_{s,0}}{1-q^s}I_{s+n} \\ &= a_{s,n}L_{s+n} + b_{s,n}I_{s+n}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\varphi(L_n) = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(L_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(L_n). \tag{3.25}$$

By (1.10) and (3.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}\varphi(I_n) &= \varphi([I_n, L_0]_q) \\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([\varphi(I_n), \alpha_q(L_0)]_q + [\alpha_q(I_n), \varphi(L_0)]_q\Big) \\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^s}\Big([c_{s,n}L_{s+n} + d_{s,n}I_{s+n}, 2L_0]_q + [(1+q^n)I_n, a_{s,0}L_s + b_{s,0}I_s]_q\Big) \\
&= \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}c_{s,n}L_{s+n} + \Big(\frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}d_{s,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}\{n\}a_{s,0}\Big)I_{s+n},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}c_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}c_{s,n}, \\
\{n\}d_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^s}\{s+n\}d_{s,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^s}\{n\}a_{s,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, $c_{s,n} = 0,\ \forall\ n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d_{s,n} = \frac{1-q^n}{q^s-1}a_{s,0}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(I_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(I_n) &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[L_s, L_n]_q + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}[I_s, L_n]_q \\
&= \frac{-\{n\}}{\{s\}}a_{s,0}L_{s+n} \\
&= -\frac{1-q^n}{1-q^s}a_{s,0}I_{s+n} \\
&= d_{s,n}I_{s+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\varphi(I_n) = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(I_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(I_n). \tag{3.26}$$

Applying the same relations (1.11) and (3.24), we have

$$\varphi(G_n) = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(G_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(G_n). \tag{3.27}$$

And by (1.13) and (3.24), we have

$$\varphi(T_n) = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s}(T_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}(T_n). \tag{3.28}$$

Using (3.25), (3.26), (3.27) and (3.28) we deduce that $\varphi = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{L_s} + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s\}}ad_{I_s}$.

□

Proposition 3.6. *If φ is an odd q-derivation of degree $s \neq -1$ then it is an inner derivation, more precisely:*

$$(\mathcal{QD}er\mathfrak{A}_q)_{1,s} =< ad_{G_s} + ad_{T_s} > .$$

Proof. Let φ be an odd q-derivation of degree $s \neq -1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\varphi(L_n) = a_{s,n}G_{s+n} + b_{s,n}T_{s+n},\ \varphi(I_n) = c_{s,n}G_{s+n} + d_{s,n}T_{s+n}, \\
&\varphi(G_n) = e_{s,n}L_{s+n} + f_{s,n}I_{s+n},\ \varphi(T_n) = g_{s,n}L_{s+n} + h_{s,n}I_{s+n},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

By (1.9) and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}\varphi(L_n) &= \varphi([L_n, L_0]_q)\\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([\varphi(L_n), \alpha_q(L_0)]_q + [\alpha_q(L_n), \varphi(L_0)]_q\Big)\\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([a_{s,n}G_{s+n} + b_{s,n}T_{s+n}, 2L_0]_q + [(1+q^n)L_n, a_{s,0}G_s + b_{s,0}T_s]_q\Big)\\
&= \Big(\frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{s+1}}(\{n\} - \{s+1\})a_{s,0} + \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}a_{s,n}\Big)G_{s+n}\\
&+ \Big(\frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n\}b_{s,n} - \frac{2(1+q^n)}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+1\}b_{s,0}\Big)T_{s+n},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}a_{s,n} &= \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{s+1}}(\{n\} - \{s+1\})a_{s,0} + \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}a_{s,n},\\
\{n\}b_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n\}b_{s,n} - \frac{2(1+q^n)}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+1\}b_{s,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, $a_{s,n} = \frac{q^{s+1}-q^n}{q^{s+1}-1}a_{s,0}$ and $b_{s,n} = b_{s,0}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(L_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(L_n) &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[G_s, L_n]_q + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[T_s, L_n]_q\\
&= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}(\{s+1\} - \{n\})G_{s+n} + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}\{s+1\}T_{s+n}\\
&= \frac{q^{s+1}-q^n}{q^{s+1}-1}a_{s,0}G_{s+n} + b_{s,0}T_{s+n}\\
&= a_{s,n}G_{s,n} + b_{s,n}T_{s,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi(L_n) = \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(L_n) + \frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(L_n)$.
By (1.10) and (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}\varphi(I_n) &= \varphi([I_n, L_0]_q)\\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([\varphi(I_n), \alpha_q(L_0)]_q + [\alpha_q(I_n), \varphi(L_0)]_q\Big)\\
&= \frac{1}{1+q^{s+1}}\Big([c_{s,n}G_{s+n} + d_{s,n}T_{s+n}, 2L_0]_q + [(1+q^n)I_n, a_{s,0}G_s + b_{s,0}T_s]_q\Big)\\
&= \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}c_{s,n}G_{s+n} + \Big(\frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}d_{s,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{s+1}}\{n\}b_{s,0}\Big)T_{s+n},
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\{n\}c_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}c_{s,n},\\
\{n\}d_{s,n} &= \frac{2}{1+q^{s+1}}\{s+n+1\}d_{s,n} + \frac{1+q^n}{1+q^{s+1}}\{n\}a_{s,0}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that, $c_{s,n}=0$ and $d_{s,n}=\frac{1-q^n}{q^{s+1}-1}a_{s,0}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(I_n)+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(I_n) &= \frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[G_s,I_n]_q+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}[T_s,I_n]_q\\ &= -\frac{\{n\}}{\{s+1\}}a_{s,0}T_{s+n}\\ &= \frac{q^n-1}{1-q^{s+1}}a_{s,0}T_{s+n}\\ &= d_{s,n}T_{s,n}.\end{aligned}$$

So $\varphi(I_n)=\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(I_n)+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(I_n)$.
similarly, by (1.11) and (3.29), we have

$$\varphi(G_n)=\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(G_n)+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(G_n).$$

And by (1.13) and (3.29), we have

$$\varphi(T_n)=\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}(T_n)+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}(T_n).$$

Which implies that

$$\varphi=\frac{a_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{G_s}+\frac{b_{s,0}}{\{s+1\}}ad_{T_s}.$$

□

4. Cohomology of n-ary Hom-superalgebras induced by cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras

4.1. **n-ary Hom-Nambu superalgebras.** In this section, we recall the definitions of n-ary Hom-Nambu algebras and n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras, introduced in [8] by Ataguema, Makhlouf and Silvestrov and we generalize them to superalgebras cases.

Definition 4.1. An *n-ary Hom-Nambu* algebra is a triple $(N,[\cdot,...,\cdot],\widetilde{\alpha})$ consisting of a vector space N, an n-linear map $[\cdot,...,\cdot]:N^n\longrightarrow N$ and a family $\widetilde{\alpha}=(\alpha_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-1}$ of linear maps $\alpha_i:\ N\longrightarrow N$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\alpha_1(x_1),....,\alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1}),[y_1,....,y_n]\big]=\\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}\big[\alpha_1(y_1),....,\alpha_{i-1}(y_{i-1}),[x_1,....,x_{n-1},y_i],\alpha_i(y_{i+1}),...,\alpha_{n-1}(y_n)\big],\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

for all $(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\in N^{n-1}$, $(y_1,...,y_n)\in N^n$.
The identity (4.1) is called *Hom-Nambu identity.*

Definition 4.2. An *n-ary Hom-Nambu* superalgebra is a triple $(N,[\cdot,...,\cdot],\widetilde{\alpha})$ consisting of a vector space $N=N_0\oplus N_1$, an even n-linear map $[\cdot,...,\cdot]:N^n\longrightarrow N$ such that $[N_{j_1},...,N_{j_n}]\subset N_{j_1+...+j_n}$ and a family $\widetilde{\alpha}=(\alpha_i)_{1\leq i\leq n-1}$ of even linear maps $\alpha_i:\ N\longrightarrow N$, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&\big[\alpha_1(x_1),....,\alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1}),[y_1,....,y_n]\big]=\\ &\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{|y||x^i|}\big[\alpha_1(y_1),....,\alpha_{i-1}(y_{i-1}),[x_1,....,x_{n-1},y_i],\alpha_i(y_{i+1}),...,\alpha_{n-1}(y_n)\big],\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

for all $(x_1,...,x_{n-1})\in\mathcal{H}(N)^{n-1}$, $(y_1,...,y_n)\in\mathcal{H}(N)^n$, where $|x^i|=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}|x_j|$ and $|y|=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}|y_j|$
The identity (4.2) is called *Super-Hom-Nambu identity.*

Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(N)^{n-1}$, $\widetilde{\alpha}(x) = (\alpha_1(x_1), \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}(x_{n-1})) \in \mathcal{H}(N)^{n-1}$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}(N)$. We define an adjoint map $ad(x)$ as a linear map on N, such that

$$L_x(y) = [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}, y]. \tag{4.3}$$

Then the Super-Hom-Nambu identity (4.1) may be written in terms of adjoint map as

$$L_{\widetilde{\alpha}(x)}([y_1, ..., y_n]) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^{|y||x^i|} [\alpha_1(y_1), ..., \alpha_{i-1}(y_{i-1}), L_x(y_i), \alpha_{i+1}(y_{i+1})..., \alpha_{n-1}(y_n)].$$

Remark 4.3. When the maps $(\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ are all identity maps, one recovers the classical n-ary Nambu superalgebras. The Super-Hom-Nambu Identity (4.1), for $n = 2$, corresponds to Super-Hom-Jacobi identity (see [25]), which reduces to Super-Jacobi identity when $\alpha_1 = id$.

Definition 4.4. An n-ary Hom-Nambu superalgebra $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \widetilde{\alpha})$ where $\widetilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ is called *n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie* superalgebra if the bracket is super skew-symmetric that is

$$[x_1, ..., x_i, ..., x_j, ..., x_n] = -(-1)^{|x_i||x_j|} [x_1, ..., x_j, ..., x_i, ..., x_n], \ \forall\, i, j \in \{1, ..., n\} \text{ and } \forall\, x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathcal{H}(N) \tag{4.4}$$

In the sequel we deal with a particular class of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras which we call n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras.

Definition 4.5. An *n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu superalgebra* is an n-ary Hom-Nambu superalgebra $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \widetilde{\alpha})$ with $\widetilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$ where $\alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha$ and satisfying

$$\alpha([x_1, .., x_n]) = [\alpha(x_1), .., \alpha(x_n)], \quad \forall\, x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathcal{H}(N). \tag{4.5}$$

For simplicity, we will denote the n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu superalgebra as $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ where $\alpha : N \to N$ is a linear map. Also by misuse of language an element $x \in \mathcal{H}(N)^n$ refers to $x = (x_1, .., x_n)$, where $x_i \in \mathcal{H}(N)$, and $\alpha(x)$ denotes $(\alpha(x_1), ..., \alpha(x_n))$.

4.2. **From n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra to Hom-Leibniz superalgebra.** Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. On $\wedge^{n-1}N$ which is the set of elements $x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{n-1}$ that are skew-symmetric in their arguments, we define, for $x = x_1 \otimes ... \otimes x_{n-1} \in \otimes^{n-1}N$, a linear map $\hat{\alpha} : \otimes^{n-1}N \longrightarrow \otimes^{n-1}N$ for all $x = x_1 \otimes ... \otimes x_{n-1} \in \otimes^{n-1}N$, by

$$\hat{\alpha}(x) = \alpha(x_1) \otimes ... \otimes \alpha(x_{n-1}) \tag{4.6}$$

and an even bilinear map $[\ ,\ ]_\alpha : \wedge^{n-1}N \times \wedge^{n-1}N \longrightarrow \wedge^{n-1}N$ defined for all $x, y \in \otimes^{n-1}\mathcal{H}(N)$ by

$$[x, y]_\alpha = L(x) \bullet_\alpha y = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{|x||y^i|} \big(\alpha(y_1), ..., L(x) \cdot y_i, ..., \alpha(y_{n-1})\big). \tag{4.7}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}(N)$ the space $\wedge^{n-1}N$ and call it the fundamental set, $\mathcal{L}(N)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded.

Lemma 4.6. *The map L satisfies*

$$L([x, y]_\alpha) \cdot \alpha(z) = L(\alpha(x)) \cdot \big(L(y) \cdot z\big) - (-1)^{|x||y|} L(\alpha(y)) \cdot \big(L(x) \cdot z\big) \tag{4.8}$$

for all $x,\ y \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}(N)),\ z \in \mathcal{H}(N)$.

Proposition 4.7. *The triple $\big(\mathcal{L}(N),\ [\ ,\ ]_\alpha,\ \widetilde{\alpha}\big)$ is a Hom-Leibniz superalgebra.*

Proof. Let $x = x_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{n-1},\ y = y_1 \wedge ... \wedge y_{n-1}$ and $u = u_1 \wedge ... \wedge u_{n-1} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}(N))$, the Super-Leibniz identity (1.1) can be written

$$\big[[x, y]_\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha}(u)\big]_\alpha = [\widetilde{\alpha}(x), [y, u]_\alpha]_\alpha - (-1)^{|x||y|} [\widetilde{\alpha}(y), [x, u]_\alpha]_\alpha \tag{4.9}$$

and equivalently for $v \in \mathcal{H}(N)$

$$\Big(L\big(L(x) \bullet_\alpha y\big) \bullet_\alpha \tilde{\alpha}(u)\Big) \cdot (v) = \Big(L(\alpha(x)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(y) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big) \cdot (v) - (-1)^{|x||y|} \Big(L(\alpha(y)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(x) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big) \cdot (v). \tag{4.10}$$

Let us compute first $\Big(L(\tilde{\alpha}(x)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(y) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big)$. This is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(L(\alpha(x)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(y) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|y||u^i|}L(\alpha(x)) \bullet_\alpha \big(\alpha(u_1), ..., L(y)\cdot u_i, ..., \alpha(u_{n-1})\big)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j<i,j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||u^j|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., \alpha(L(x)\cdot u_j), ..., \alpha(L(y)\cdot u_i)..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big)\\ &+ \sum_{i=}^{n-1}\sum_{j>i,j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||y|+|x||u^j|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., \alpha(L(y)\cdot u_i)..., \alpha(L(x)\cdot u_j), ..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big)\\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||u^i|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., L(\tilde{\alpha}(x))\cdot(L(y)\cdot u_i), ..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big).\end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (4.10) is skew-symmetric in x, y. Hence,

$$\Big(L(\alpha(x)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(y) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\Big(L(\alpha(y)) \bullet_\alpha \big(L(x) \bullet_\alpha u\big)\Big) =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||u^i|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., \{L(\alpha(x))\cdot(L(y)\cdot u_i) - (-1)^{|x||y|}L(\alpha(y))\cdot(L(x)\cdot u_i)\}, ..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big). \tag{4.11}$$

In the other hand, using Definition (4.7), we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(L\big(L(x) \bullet_\alpha y\big) \bullet_\alpha \tilde{\alpha}(u)\Big)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||y^j|+|x||u^i|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., \alpha^2(u_{i-1}),\\ &\qquad [\alpha(y_1), ..., L(x)\cdot y_j, ..., \alpha(y_{n-1}), \alpha(u_i)], \alpha^2(u_{i+1}), ..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{|x||u^i|+|y||u^i|}\big(\alpha^2(u_1), ..., \alpha^2(u_{i-1}), [x,y]_\alpha\cdot\alpha(u_i), \alpha^2(u_{i+1}), ..., \alpha^2(u_{n-1})\big).\end{aligned}$$

The identity (4.9) holds by using Lemma 4.6. □

Remark 4.8. We obtain a similar result if we consider the space $TN = \otimes^{n-1}N$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(N)$.

4.3. **Representations of Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras.** We provide in the following a graded version of the study of representations of n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras stated in [2].

Definition 4.9. A representation of an n-ary Hom-Nambu superalgebra $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \tilde{\alpha})$ on a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is an even super skew-symmetric multilinear map $\rho : N^{n-1} \longrightarrow End(V)$ satisfying for $x, y \in \mathcal{H}(N^{n-1})$ the identity

$$\rho(\tilde{\alpha}(x))\circ\rho(y) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\rho(\tilde{\alpha}(y))\circ\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{|y||x^i|}\rho(\alpha_1(x_1), ..., L(y)\cdot x_i, ..., \alpha_{n-2}(x_{n-1}))\circ\nu, \tag{4.12}$$

where ν is an even endomorphism on V. We denote this representation by a triple (V, ρ, ν).

Two representations (V, ρ, ν) and (V', ρ', ν') of N are *equivalent* if there exists $f : V \to V'$, an isomorphism of vector space, such that $f(x\cdot v) = x\cdot' f(v)$ and $f\circ\nu = \nu'\circ f$ where $x\cdot v = \rho(x)(v)$ and $x\cdot' v' = \rho'(x)(v')$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}(N^{n-1})$, $v \in V$ and $v' \in V'$. Then V and V' are viewed as N^{n-1}-modules.

Remark 4.10. Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu superalgebra. The identity (4.12) can be written

$$\rho(\tilde{\alpha}(x))\circ\rho(y) - (-1)^{|x||y|}\rho(\tilde{\alpha}(y))\circ\rho(x) = \rho([x,y]_\alpha)\circ\nu. \tag{4.13}$$

Example 4.11. *Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \tilde{\alpha})$ be an n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra. The map L defined in* (4.3) *is a representation on N, where the endomorphism ν is the twist map α_{n-1}. The identity* (4.12) *is equivalent to the Hom-Nambu identity* (4.1)*. This representation is called the* adjoint *representation.*

4.4. **Cohomology of Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras.** Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ be an n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra ($\alpha_1 = ... = \alpha_n = \alpha$) and (V, ρ, ν) a N^{n-1}-module.

Definition 4.12. A p-cochain is a $(p+1)$-linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(N) \otimes ... \otimes \mathcal{L}(N) \wedge N \longrightarrow V$, such that

$$\nu \circ \varphi(x_1, ..., x_p, z) = \varphi(\alpha(x_1), ..., \alpha(x_p), \alpha(z)).$$

We denote the set of p-cochains by $\mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\mu}(N, V)$

Definition 4.13. We call, for $p \geq 1$, p-coboundary operator of the multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ the linear map $\delta^p : \mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\mu}(N, V) \to \mathcal{C}^{p+1}_{\alpha,\mu}(N, V)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14)\qquad & \delta^p\psi(x_1, ..., x_p, x_{p+1}, z) \\
&= \sum_{1\leq i<j}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)|x_i|}\psi\big(\alpha(x_1), ..., \widehat{\alpha(x_i)}, ..., \alpha(x_{j-1}), [x_i, x_j]_\alpha, ..., \alpha(x_{p+1}), \alpha(z)\big) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{p+1}|)|x_i|}\psi\big(\alpha(x_1), ..., \widehat{\alpha(x_i)}, ..., \alpha(x_{p+1}), L(x_i)\cdot z\big) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1+(|\psi|+|x_1|+...+|x_{i-1}|)|x_i|}\rho(\alpha^p(x_i))\Big(\psi\big(x_1, ..., \widehat{x_i}, ..., x_{p+1}, z\big)\Big) \\
&+ (-1)^{(|\psi|+|x_1|+...+|x_{p+1}|)|z|}\big(\psi(x_1, ..., x_p, \ )\cdot x_{p+1}\big)\bullet_\alpha \alpha^p(z)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(\psi(x_1, ..., x_p, \ )\cdot x_{p+1}\big)\bullet_\alpha \alpha^p(z) \\
(4.15)\qquad &= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i+|\psi|+|x_1|+...+|x_p|+|x^i_{p+1}|+|z|}\rho(\alpha^p(x^1_{p+1}), ..., \alpha^p(x^{n-1}_{p+1}), \alpha^p(z))(\psi(x_1, ..., x_p, x^i_{p+1})),
\end{aligned}$$

for $x_i = (x^j_i)_{1\leq j\leq n-1} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}(N)),\ 1 \leq i \leq p+1$, $z \in \mathcal{H}(N)$.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\mu}(N, V)$ be a p-cochain then*

$$\delta^{p+1} \circ \delta^p(\psi) = 0.$$

Therefore, we have a cohomology complex. Let ψ be a p-cochain, $x_i = (x^j_i)_{1\leq j\leq n-1} \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}(N)),\ 1 \leq i \leq p+2$ and $z \in \mathcal{H}(N)$.

Definition 4.15. We define the space of

- p-cocycles by
$$\mathcal{Z}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V) = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V) : \delta^p\varphi = 0\},$$
- p-coboundaries by
$$\mathcal{B}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V) = \{\psi = \delta^{p-1}\varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{p-1}_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V).$$

Lemma 4.16. $\mathcal{B}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V) \subset \mathcal{Z}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V)$.

Definition 4.17. We call the p^{th}-cohomology group the quotient

$$\mathcal{H}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V) = \mathcal{Z}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V)/\mathcal{B}^p_{\alpha,\nu}(N, V).$$

4.5. **Cohomology of n-ary Hom-superalgebras induced by cohomology of Hom-Leibniz superalgebras.** In this section we extend to n-ary multiplicative Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras the Takhtajan's construction of a cohomology of ternary Nambu-Lie algebras starting from Chevalley-Eilenberg cohomology of Lie algebras, (see [13, 30, 31]).

Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and the triple $(\mathcal{L}(N) = N^{\otimes n-1}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\alpha, \alpha)$ be the Hom-Leibniz superalgebra associated to N where the bracket is defined in (4.7).

Theorem 4.18. *Let $(N, [\cdot, ..., \cdot], \alpha)$ be a multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebra and $\mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\alpha}(N, N) = Hom(\otimes^p \mathcal{L}(N) \otimes N, N)$ for $p \geq 1$ be the set of cochains. Let $\Delta : \mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\alpha}(N, N) \to C^p_{\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\alpha}}(\mathcal{L}(N), \mathcal{L}(N))$ be the linear map defined for $p = 0$ and $(x_1, ..., x_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{H}(N)^{n-1}$ by*

$$\Delta\varphi(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{(|x_1|+...+|x_{i-1}|)|\varphi|} x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(x_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1} \tag{4.16}$$

and for $p > 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(\Delta\varphi)(a_1, \cdots, a_{p+1}) = \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|} \alpha^{p-1}(x^1_{p+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi(a_1, \cdots, a_{n-1} \otimes x^i_{p+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha^{n-1}(x^{n-1}_{p+1}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where we set $a_j = x^1_j \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{n-1}_j$.
Then there exists a cohomology complex $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\alpha,\alpha}(N, N), \delta)$ for n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie superalgebras such that

$$d \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \delta.$$

The coboundary map $\delta : \mathcal{C}^p_N(N, N) \to \mathcal{C}^{p+1}_N(N, N)$ is defined for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^p_N(N, N)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^p\psi(a_1, ..., a_p, a_{p+1}, z) \\
&= \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^{p+1} (-1)^i (-1)^{(|a_{i+1}|+...+|a_{j-1}|)|a_i|} \psi\big(\alpha(a_1), ..., \widehat{\alpha(a_i)}, ..., \alpha(a_{j-1}), [a_i, a_j]_\alpha, ..., \alpha(a_{p+1}), \alpha(z)\big) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^i (-1)^{(|a_{i+1}|+...+|a_{p+1}|)|a_i|} \psi\big(\alpha(a_1), ..., \widehat{\alpha(a_i)}, ..., \alpha(a_{p+1}), L(a_i) \cdot z\big) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{i+1} (-1)^{(|\psi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{i-1}|)|a_i|} L(\alpha^p(a_i)) \cdot \psi\big(a_1, ..., \widehat{a_i}, ..., a_{p+1}, z\big) \\
&+ (-1)^{(|\psi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{p+1}|)|z|} (-1)^p \big(\psi(a_1, ..., a_p, \ ) \cdot a_{p+1}\big) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^p(z)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\big(\varphi(a_1, ..., a_p, \ ) \cdot a_{p+1}\big) \bullet_\alpha \alpha^p(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [\alpha^p(x^1_{p+1}), ..., \varphi(a_1, ..., a_p, x^i_{p+1}), ..., \alpha^p(x^{n-1}_{p+1}), \alpha^p(z)].$$

for $a_i \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{L}(N))$, $x \in \mathcal{H}(N)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^p_{\alpha,\alpha}(N, N)$ and $(a_1 \cdots a_{p+1}) \in \mathcal{L}$ where $a_j = x^j_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^j_{n-1}$.
Then $\Delta\varphi \in C^p_{\widetilde{\alpha},\widetilde{\alpha}}(\mathcal{L}(N), \mathcal{L}(N))$ and according to (2.2) and (4.14) we set $d = d_1 + d_2 + d_3$ and $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 + \delta_4$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_1\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1}) &= \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}\big[\alpha^{p-1}(a_k),\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,a_{p+1})\big]\\
d_2\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1}) &= (-1)^{p+1}\big[\varphi(a_1\otimes\cdots\otimes a_p),\alpha^{p-1}(a_{p+1})\big]\\
d_3\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1}) &= \sum_{1\leq k<j}^{p+1}(-1)^{k}\varphi(\alpha(a_1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\widehat{a_k}\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha(a_{j-1})\otimes[a_k,a_j]\otimes\alpha(a_{j+1})\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha(a_{p+1}))
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1^p\psi(x_1,...,x_{p+1},z) &= \sum_{1\leq i<j}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{j-1}|)|x_i|}\psi\big(\alpha(x_1),...,\widehat{\alpha(x_i)},...,\alpha(x_{j-1}),[x_i,x_j]_\alpha,...,\alpha(x_{p+1}),\alpha(z)\big)\\
\delta_2^p\psi(x_1,...,x_{p+1},z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+(|x_{i+1}|+...+|x_{p+1}|)|x_i|}\psi\big(\alpha(x_1),...,\widehat{\alpha(x_i)},...,\alpha(x_{p+1}),L(x_i)\cdot z\big)\\
\delta_3^p\psi(x_1,...,x_{p+1},z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{i+1+(|\psi|+|x_1|+...+|x_{i-1}|)|x_i|}\rho(\alpha^p(x_i))\Big(\psi\big(x_1,...,\widehat{x_i},...,x_{p+1},z\big)\Big)\\
\delta_4^p\psi(x_1,...,x_{p+1},z) &= (-1)^{(|\psi|+|x_1|+...+|x_{p+1}|)|z|}\big(\psi(x_1,...,x_p,\ )\cdot x_{p+1}\big)\bullet_\alpha\alpha^p(z).
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4.17) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_1\circ\Delta\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})\\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\big[\alpha^{p-1}(a_k),\Delta\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,a_{p+1})\big]\\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+\widehat{|a_k|}+...+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
& \big[\alpha^{p-1}(a_k),\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\big]\\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\sum_{i>j}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_k|+...+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
& \alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\\
+ & \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_{k+1}|+...+|a_p|)|a_k|}\sum_{j>i}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_k|+...+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
& \alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\\
+ & \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+...+|a_k|+...+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
& \alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\sum_{i>j}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_k|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
&\quad \alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_{k+1}|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_k|}\sum_{j>i}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_k|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
&\quad \alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\\
&+ \Delta\circ\delta_3\circ\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})\\
&= \Lambda_1+\Lambda_2+\Delta\circ\delta_3\circ\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_{k-1}|)|a_k|}\sum_{i>j}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_k|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
&\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1})\\
\Lambda_2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{p}(-1)^{k-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_{k+1}|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_k|}\sum_{j>i}^{n-1}(-1)^{(|\varphi|+|a_1|+\ldots+|a_k|+\ldots+|a_p|)|a_{p+1}^{i-1}|}\\
&\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^1)\otimes\cdots\otimes\varphi(a_1,\cdots,\widehat{a_k},\cdots,x_{p+1}^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes L(\alpha^{p-1}(a_k)).\alpha^{p-1}(x_{p+1}^j)\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha^p(x_{p+1}^{n-1}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$d_2\circ\Delta\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1}) \ =\Delta\circ\delta_4\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1}) \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$d_3\Delta\circ\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})=\Delta\circ\delta_1\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})+\Delta\circ\delta_2\varphi(a_1,\cdots,a_{p+1})-\Lambda_1-\Lambda_2. \tag{4.19}$$

Finally we have

$$d\circ\Delta=d_1\circ\Delta+d_2\circ\Delta+d_3\circ\Delta=\Delta\circ\delta_3+\Delta\circ\delta_4+\Delta\circ\delta_1+\Delta\circ\delta_2=\Delta\circ\delta$$

where $\delta=\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_3+\delta_4$ as defined in Proof 4.14. □

Remark 4.19. If $d^2=0$, then $\delta^2=0$.
In fact, since $d\circ\Delta=\Delta\circ\delta$, then

$$\Delta\circ\delta^2=\Delta\circ\delta\circ\delta=d\circ\Delta\circ\delta=d\circ.d\circ\Delta=d^2\circ\Delta=0.$$

References

1. Albeverio, S.; Ayupov, Sh. A.; Omirov, B. A., *On nilpotent and simple Leibniz algebras*, Communications in Algebra, 33(2005), 159172.
2. Ammar F. Mabrouk S. and Makhlouf A., *Representation and cohomology of multiplicative n-ary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebbras* , Journal of Geometry and Physics (2011), DOI 10.1016/j.geomphys.2011.04.022.
3. Ammar F. and Makhlouf A., *Hom-Lie algebras and Hom-Lie admissible superalgebras*, Journal of Algebra, Vol. **324** (7), (2010) 1513–1528.
4. Ammar F. Makhlouf A. and Saadaoui N, *Second Cohomology of q-deformed Witt superalgebras*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **54**, 063507 (2013); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4807717 (21 pages)(2013)
5. Ammar F. Makhlouf A. and Saadaoui N, *Cohomology of Hom-Lie superalgebras and q-deformed Witt superalgebra*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. **63**, No. 3, (2013) 721–761.
6. Ammar F., Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S., *Ternary q-Virasoro-Witt Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras,* Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical, **43** 265204 (2010).
7. Ataguema H., Makhlouf A. *Deformations of ternary algebras*, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications, vol. **1,** (2007), 41–45.
8. Ataguema H., Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S., *Generalization of n-ary Nambu algebras and beyond*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **50**, 1 (2009).
9. Arnlind J., Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S., *Ternary Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras induced by Hom-Lie algebras*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, **51**, 043515 (2010).

10. Baxter R.J., *Partition function for the eight-vertex lattice model*, Ann. Physics 70 (1972) 193-228.
11. Baxter R.J., *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London, 1982.
12. Cassas J.M., Loday J.-L. and Pirashvili *Leibniz n-algebras*, Forum Math. **14** (2002), 189–207.
13. Daletskii Y.L. and Takhtajan L.A., *Leibniz and Lie Structures for Nambu algebra*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **39** (1997) 127–141.
14. D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov, *Graded quasi-Lie agebras*, Czech. J. Phys. 55 (2005), 14731478.
15. Dzhumadildaev, A.S., *Cohomologies of colour Leibniz superalgebras: pre-simplicial approach*, Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics III, Proceeding of the Third International Workshop (1999), 124135
16. De Azcarraga J. A. and Izquierdo J.M. *Cohomology of Filippov algebras and an analogue of Whitehead's lemma*, Journal of Physics: Conference Series 175 (2009) 012001.
17. De Azcarraga J. A. and Izquierdo J.M. *n-ary algebras: a review with applications*, e-print arXiv 1005.1028 (2010).
18. Filippov V., *n-Lie algebras*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 26, 126-140 (1985) (English transl.: Siberian Math. J. 26, 879-891 (1985)).
19. Hartwig, J., Larsson, D., Silvestrov, S.: *Deformations of Lie algebras using s-derivation*. J. Algebra, 295, 314361 (2006)
20. Ling W. X. , *On the structure of n-Lie algebras*, PhD thesis, Siegen, 1993.
21. Liu, D.; Hu, N., Leibniz superalgebras and central extensions, Journal.of Algebra and its Applications, Vol. 5(6)(2006), 765-780.
22. Loday, J.-L., *Une version non commutative des algébres de Lie: Les algèbres de Leibniz,* Enseign. Math. 39 (1993) 269294. .
23. Loday J.L. and Pirashvili T., *Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology*, Math. Ann. **296** 139–158 (1993).
24. Makhlouf A., *Paradigm of Nonassociative Hom-algebras and Hom-superalgebras*, *Proceedings of Jordan Structures in Algebra and Analysis Meeting*, Eds: J. Carmona Tapia, A. Morales Campoy, A. M. Peralta Pereira, M. I. Ramrez lvarez, Publishing house: Circulo Rojo, (145–177).
25. Makhlouf A. and Silvestrov S. D., *Hom-algebra structures*, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. **2** (2) , 51–64 (2008).
Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, Published as Chapter 17, pp 189-206, S. Silvestrov, E. Paal, V. Abramov, A. Stolin, (Eds.), Generalized Lie theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, (2008).
26. ——— *Notes on Formal deformations of Hom-Associative and Hom-Lie algebras*, Forum Mathematicum, vol. **22** (4) (2010), 715–739.
27. ——— *Hom-Algebras and Hom-Coalgebras*, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications Vol. **9** (4), (2010) 553–589.
28. Nambu Y., *Generalized Hamiltonian mechanics*, Phys. Rev. D7, 2405-2412 (1973) 34, 3273-3291 (1993).
29. Kerner R., *Ternary algebraic structures and their applications in physics*, in the "Proc. BTLP 23rd International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics", ArXiv math-ph/0011023, (2000). and Quantum Deformations", Eds. Z. Oziewicz, B. Jancewicz, A. Borowiec, pp. 349-357, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1993).
30. Takhtajan L., *On foundation of the generalized Nambu mechanics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **160** (1994), 295-315.
31. ——— *A higher order analog of Chevally-Eilenberg complex and deformation theory of n-algebras*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **6** (1995), 429-438.
32. ——— *Leibniz and Lie algebra structures for Nambu algebra*, Letters in Mathematical Physics **39:** 127-141, (1997).
33. Yau D., *On n-ary Hom-Nambu and Hom-Nambu-Lie algebras*, e-print arXiv:1004.2080v1 (2010).
34. ——— *on n-ary Hom-Nambu and Hom-Maltsev algebras*, e-print arXiv:1004.4795v1 (2010).
35. ——— *A Hom-associatve analogue of n-ary Hom-Nambu algebras*, e-print arXiv:1005.2373v1 (2010).
36. Cheng Y. , Yang H. *Low-dimensional cohomology of q-deformed Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra of Hom-type* Front. Math. China 2010, 5(4): 607622 DOI 10.1007/s11464-010-0063-z.

K.Abdaoui , Université de Sfax, Faculté des Sciences, Sfax Tunisia
E-mail address: abdaouielkadri@hotmail.com

Sami Mabrouk, Université de Gafsa, Faculté des Sciences, Gafsa Tunisia
E-mail address: Mabrouksami00@yahoo.fr

Abdenacer Makhlouf, Université de Haute Alsace, Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Informatique et Applications, 4, rue des Frères Lumière F-68093 Mulhouse, France
E-mail address: Abdenacer.Makhlouf@uha.fr